Title: Goals and Initiatives of Kyoto Protocol
Subject: Environmental Management
Type of Paper: Essay
Words: 1128

Kyoto Protocol

The Kyoto Protocol is an international treaty connected to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). The Kyoto Protocol's core feature is that it establishes binding targets for more than 35 developed nations as well as the European community for minimising emissions. These reductions come to an average of 5% against the levels of 1990 over the period of five years, from 2008 to 2012. (Bizzarri, 2011) This treaty negotiated as an adjustment or modification to the UNFCCC. For all parties to the UNFCCC, the treaty is open to sign and approve. The commitments by 2008 presented in this lawful treaty were approved by 184 parties.

The key aim of Kyoto Protocol is to minimise the global greenhouse emission by an average of five percent over the period of five years, from 2008 to 2012, in relation to the levels of 1990 (Bizzarri, 2011). The European Union (EU), liking show leadership on this vital matter, attempted to minimise the greenhouse gas emissions by an average of 8% against the levels of 1990.

The protocol parties are grouped into the following categories:

1. *Industrialised and developed nations* that concur to minimise their greenhouse gas emissions to targets that are chiefly set below the levels of 1990 (Weaver, 2011).
2. *Developed nations* that pay for developing nations' cost (Weaver, 2011).
3. *Emerging and developing Nations* that are not anticipated to decarbonise their economy except when developed nations provide assistance in terms of finance and technology (Weaver, 2011).

A more flexible approach is offered by the Kyoto Protocol for signatories to minimise their greenhouse gas emissions:

- *Emissions trading***:** The Protocol's aim is for global cutbacks in greenhouse gas emissions, irrespective of where in the world the cutbacks come to pass. As greenhouse gas emissions reductions' costs are high in some countries, large reductions at a cheaper cost can be achieved by some nations. Excess reductions can be sold to those that have not achieved their set goals. (Golusin & Munitlak Ivanovic, 2011)
- *The mechanism of clean development***:** The Protocol signatories are permitted to invest in schemes of clean energy in developing nations, and employ the emission reductions of developing countries to assist achieve their own targets (Skjærseth & Wettestad, 2010).
- *Joint implementation***:** It allows developed nations to invest in programs related to emission reductions in other countries that are developed, and employ such reductions towards their own goals (Skjærseth & Wettestad, 2010).

After 1990 to 2008 there has been more than 6% reduction in emissions from developed nations that have endorsed the Kyoto Protocol. Some of the nations that are still higher than their commitments of Kyoto target, comprising Ireland, can reach their targets through the trading of emissions (Bizzarri, 2011).

Whereas Kyoto is just a first initiative and its targets die in 2012, it is however a major initiative. At present, more strategic plans are in progress under the supervision of UNFCCC to identify the global warming issue (Cole, 2012).

The determined targets for dealing with the global climate change post 2012 were specified in the document of EU called "*Limiting Global Climate Change to 2 degrees Celsius: The way ahead for 2020 and beyond*" (Jones et al. 2009). It is important for all countries to accomplish the necessary reductions in greenhouse gas emissions so as to prevent the global temperature from rising 2°C beyond the pre-industrial extents. This will represent more strict national policies.

Benefits of Stabilising Emissions

The benefits and costs present and future generations obtain due to climate change or a control policy for environment are frequently perplexed with other goals, like generational discounting or most favourable policy plan. The Protocol's objective is to stabilise the levels of emissions in the atmosphere of earth so as to freeze global warming. Global warming is now a global issue; an agency of UN called "The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)" has forecasted that the average temperature of earth will enhance up to 5°C between next 5 to 8 years, with potentially hazardous consequences, both environmentally and socially (Jones et al. 2009). Furthermore, the IPCC has connected the phenomenon of global warming to actions of human being, and particularly, to enhanced levels of emissions by the fossil fuel burning, as well as by agricultural production and deforestation (Jones et al. 2009). The Protocol is intended to facilitate as a structure through which contributing nations work together to stabilise concentrations of emissions in the atmosphere of earth.

However, stabilisation of emission prevents hazardous anthropogenetic human made intervention with the climate system. This level should be accomplished within a period of time enough to permit ecosystems to adjust naturally to climate change, to make sure that the production of food is not endangered and to allow development of economy to continue in a sustainable way. The advantages of stabilising temperatures at the global level would be that these damages would be averted. (Bizzarri, 2011)

Adoption of Stabilising Initiatives

It is important that until more specific scientific information about the global climate change is there, climate policy follows a preventative way regarding long-term targets of stabilisation. Remembering that the issue of stabilisation is a greenhouse gas emission budget distribution issue, most of the various approaches exist to accomplish the same target of stabilisation. Considerations of conventional cost effectiveness would propose rather low near-term

diminutions and offsetting high long-standing diminutions as (a) development of technology will most likely deliver more inexpensive low carbon technologies in the near future, (b) rearrangement of the present capital stock may lead to larger costs of adjustment, and (c) a positive interest rate means that the current value of an economic trouble to present generations gets lesser the further out in the future the trouble lies. However, these discussions should not be interpreted in support of a dull "do nothing" or "wait and see" rule. Considerable inertia of economic systems is there that generate greenhouse gas emissions. This means the costs of transition for shifting from one path of emission to another. (Greenglass et al. 2010)

After that, the execution policies of emission interruption should fulfil fundamental criteria of efficiency. This comprises the coordination of marginal costs of abatement throughout the space using instruments based on market. Efficiency regarding the global greenhouse gas externality needs broad input, which thus relies on productivity and equality. (Greenglass et al. 2010)

Then, climate policy must gratify distributional issues that have previously controlled preceding policy of climate change. This comprises (complex) negotiations on substitute value measures, comprising criteria of competing fairness, like responsibility (as input to the problem of greenhouse gases), capability to pay (the response should be led by richer countries), and the benefits' distribution. (Greenglass et al. 2010). In the end, reliable sanctions must be developed to discourage free-riding. (Greenglass et al. 2010)